

ASTR 3830: Problem Set 5

(due in class Tuesday May 1st)

1. A cluster of galaxies contains 1000 galaxies within a spherical volume of radius $R = 2$ Mpc. The galaxies have random velocities of 1000 km s^{-1} , and radii of $r_{\text{gal}} = 10$ kpc.

(i) Calculate the number density of galaxies n , both in units of galaxies per cubic Mpc, and in units of galaxies per cubic cm or cubic m (this will be a very small number!).

(ii) Use the formula for the collision time to calculate the typical time scale (in years) for galaxies to physically collide in the cluster.

2. The Navarro-Frenk-White (NFW) profile for the distribution of dark matter within a galaxy cluster is:

$$\rho = \frac{C}{\frac{r}{r_s} \left(1 + \frac{r}{r_s}\right)^2}.$$

Here, ρ is the density of dark matter at radius r from the cluster center. C and r_s are both constants.

(i) Plot a graph of $\log \rho$ as a function of $\log r$.

(ii) The mass $M(r)$ interior to radius r is given by the usual integral $M(r) = \int_0^r 4\pi r^2 \rho dr$. Carry out this integral to find an expression for $M(r)$ [Hint: one way to do this is to first simplify things by making the substitution $x = r/r_s$, and then substitute $t = 1 + x$ to put the integral into tractable form...]

3. For a source with a luminosity L , and measured flux (in some waveband) f , the luminosity distance d_L is defined as:

$$d_L \equiv \left(\frac{L}{4\pi f} \right)^{1/2}.$$

(a) Type Ia supernovae are good standard candles, with an intrinsic luminosity that corresponds to an absolute magnitude (in the blue) $M = -19.6$ mag. By using the definition of the luminosity distance, together with the definitions of the apparent magnitude m [$m = -2.5 \log f + \text{constant}$] and the absolute magnitude [the absolute magnitude M is the apparent magnitude a source would have at a distance of 10 pc], show that a supernova at luminosity distance d_L has an apparent magnitude given by:

$$m = 5 \log \left(\frac{d_L}{1 \text{ Mpc}} \right) + 5.4.$$

[Note: because you know the absolute magnitude, you *don't* need to know the value of the constant entering into the magnitude definition to do this.]

(b) Consider two different universes with parameters:

- $\Omega_m = 0.26$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.74$ (model A)
- $\Omega_m = 0.30$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.70$ (model B).

Make a plot (using any plotting software you like) showing the apparent magnitude of Type Ia supernovae as a function of redshift in the two different cases. To do this, use the calculator available on the web at:

<http://www.astro.ucla.edu/~wright/CosmoCalc.html>

to obtain the luminosity distance corresponding to different redshifts in the two models (note: both are “flat” models). Then convert to apparent magnitude using the equation above. Assume that the Hubble constant is $70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$. I obtained a nice graph, using points at $z = 0.1, 0.2, \dots 1.0$.

(c) What is the difference in the apparent magnitude of the supernovae in the two models between redshift $z = 0.5$ and $z = 1$? This gives an idea of the accuracy of the measurements that are needed to distinguish between cosmological models in which the cosmological constant varies at the percent level (of course, we can in practice average many supernovae to improve the accuracy of the mean magnitude).